1. Species information

1.1 Member StateHungary1.2 Species codeA2341.3 EURING code8550

1.4 Species scientific name Picus canus

1.5 Subspecific population

1.6 Alternative species scientific name

1.7 Common name hamvas küllő
1.8 Season Breeding (B)

2. Population size

2.1 Year or period

2.2 Population size

2014-2018

a) Unit number of pairs (p)

b) Minimum 2000 c) Maximum 6000

d) Best single value

2.3 Type of estimate

2.4 Population size Method used

2.5 Sources

Best estimate

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data National common bird monitoring scheme (MMM) database.

KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project

2.6 Change and reason for change (since previous report)

Genuine change

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.7 Additional information

MMM 2014-2018 breeding season counts, evaluated by average value of the surveyed years on 125 ha territory size (the 2013 report contained population figures evaluated on 500 m radius). The minimum value was taken as minimum value here.

Under the KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project in 2017-2018, 530 2.5x2.5 km2 grids were surveyed for a given set of breeding bird species, covering 3.6% of the country. 207 pairs of Picus canus were estimated for the 530 grids. As the habitat distribution in the 530 grids is considered to be representative of the country, the national population could be estimated at 5813 pairs, which was used to establish the maximum value.

3. Population trend

3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

3.1.1 Short-term trend Period

3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction

3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude

2007-2018

Unknown (X)

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

2020. május 22. Page 1 of 5

3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used

3.1.5 Sources

3.2.5 Sources

Insufficient or no data available

National common bird monitoring scheme (MMM) database.

MME Nomenclator Bizottság (2008): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Nomenclator avium Hungariae. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest. 189-190 p.

3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

3.2.1 Long-tern trend Period

3.2.2 Long-term trend Direction

3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude

1980-2018

Unknown (X)

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used

Insufficient or no data available

Haraszthy L. (szerk.) (1984): Magyarország fészkelő madarai. Natura, Budapest.

Haraszthy, L. (szerk.) (1998): Magyarország madarai. Mezőgazda Kiadó, Budapest.

Magyar G., Hadarics T., Waliczky Z., Schmidt A., Nagy T. & Bankovics A. (1998): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Madártani Intézet, Budapest, 110 p. BirdLife International (2004) Birds in Europe: population estimates, trends and conservation status. Cambridge, UK: BirdLife International. (BirdLife Conservation Series No.12.), 223 p.

MME Nomenclator Bizottság (2008): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Nomenclator avium Hungariae. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest. 189-190 p.

National common bird monitoring scheme (MMM) database.

3.3 Additional information

The national common bird monitoring scheme (MMM) has been running since 1999. There is no population trend data from before. The short-term trend is uncertain (and the 95% CI trend values would suggest unrealistic trends, so it is better to keep it unknown) and there is insufficient data between 1999-2018 to calculate trend which does not allow any assumption for the long-

4. Breeding distribution map and size

4.1	Sensitive	species	No
-----	-----------	---------	----

2014-2018 4.2 Year or period

4.3 Breading distribution map Yes

4.4 Breading distribution 28987

surface area

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

4.5 Breading distribution Method used 4.6 Additional maps

4.7 Sources

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

4.8 Additional information

Distribution data from the National Bird Atlas programme.

5. Breeding range trend

5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

2020. május 22. Page 2 of 5

- 5.1.1 Short-term trend Period
- 5.1.2 Short-term trend Direction
- 5.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude

2007-2018 Stable (0)

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

5.1.4 Short-term trend Method used

5.1.5 Sources

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

- 5.2.1 Long-term trend Period
- 5.2.2 Long-term trend Direction
- 5.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude
- 1980-2018

Unknown (X)

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

5.2.4 Long-term trend Method used

5.2.5 Sources

5.3 Additional information

Insufficient or no data available

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

The national common bird monitoring scheme (MMM) has been running since 1999 and the National Bird Atlas programme since 2014. There is no population trend or comprehensive national distribution data from before. The short-term population trend is uncertain and there is insufficient data between 1999-2018 to calculate population trend which do not allow any assumption for the long-term trend of the breeding distribution.

6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

6.0 Is/Will the information related to international SAPs, MPs and BMSs (section 6) be provided for the other season for this species?

6.1 Type of international plan 6.2 Has a national plan linked to the intarnational SAP/MP/BMS been adopted?

6.3 If 'NO', describe any measures and initiatives taken related to the international SAP/MP/BMS6.4 Assessment of the effectivess of SAPs for globally threatened species (Art. 12, Species Action Plans)

6.5 Assessment of the effectivess of MPs for huntable species in non-Secure status (Articles 3 and 7, Management Plans)

6.6 Sources of further Information

INC

No plan (NA) No

()

()

2020. május 22. Page 3 of 5

Anniek B. Bird Species status and	a ti ciido i cr		, (, c.c. =,	
7. Main pressures and threats				
a) Pressure	b) Rar	king	c) location	
Replanting with or introducing non-native or non-typical (including new species and GMOs) (B03)	al species N	Л	inside the Member State (inMS)	
Removal of old trees (excluding dead or dying trees) (Bo	08) N	M inside the Member State (inMS)		
Clear-cutting, removal of all trees (B09)	N	Λ	inside the Member State (inMS)	
Tillage practices in forestry and other soil management in forestry (B17)	practices N	Л	inside the Member State (inMS)	
a) Threat	d) Rank	ing	e) location	
Replanting with or introducing non-native or non-typical species (including new species and GMOs) (B03)		M	inside the Member State (inMS)	
Removal of old trees (excluding dead or dying trees) (B08)		M	inside the Member State (inMS)	
Clear-cutting, removal of all trees (B09)		M	inside the Member State (inMS)	
Tillage practices in forestry and other soil management practices in forestry (B17)		M	inside the Member State (inMS)	
	Haraszthy L. (szerk.) (2014): Natura 2000 fajok és élőhelyek Magyarországon. Pro Vértes Közalapítvány, Csákvár. p. 637-640.			
7.3 Additional information				

8. Main Conservation Measures

8.1 Status of measures	Measures identified and taken	
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Maintain the current distribution, population and/or habitat for the $$	
	species	
8.3 Location of the measures	Both inside and outside Natura 2000	
8.4 Response to the measures	Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-	
	2030)	

8.5 List of main conservation measures

CB05 - Adapt/change forest management and exploitation practices

CB06 - Stop forest management and exploitation practices

8.6 Additional information

9. Natura 2000 (SPAs) coverage

9.1 Population	size	inside	the	Natura 2000	
(SPA) network					

a) Unit number of pairs (p)

b) Minimum 1000 **c)** Maximum 3000

d) Best single value

9.2 Type of estimate

Best estimate

2020. május 22. Page 4 of 5

9.3 Population size inside the network Method used

9.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

9.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

9.6 Additional information

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

Stable (0)

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

921 grids occupied by the species are in some degree of overlap with SPAs, hence the minimum estimate of 1000 pairs in SPAs. About half of the grids are in some degree of overlap with SPAs, so about half of the maximum national population is estimated as maximum for the SPA population.

2020. május 22. Page 5 of 5

A madárvédelmi irányelv 12. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019.

