1. Species information

1.1 Member State Hungary
1.2 Species code A006
1.3 EURING code 100

1.4 Species scientific name Podiceps grisegena

1.5 Subspecific population

1.6 Alternative species scientific name

1.7 Common name vörösnyakú vöcsök

1.8 Season Breeding (B)

2. Population size

2.1 Year or period 2015-2017

2.2 Population size a) Unit number of pairs (p)

b) Minimum 10 c) Maximum 20

d) Best single value

2.3 Type of estimate Best estimate

2.4 Population size Method used Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

2.5 Sources National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colonially breeding and strictly protected bird species)

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

2.6 Change and reason for change (since previous report)

Genuine change

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

The change is mainly due to: Genuine change

2.7 Additional information

Figures are rounded up (accounting for pairs in unsurveyed localities) from the national park directorates databases (2015: 8, 2016: 15, 2017: 10 pairs counted).

3. Population trend

3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

3.1.1 Short-term trend Period 2007-2018

3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction Decreasing (-)

3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude a) Minimum b) Maximum

c) Best single value 75

3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used
3.1.5 Sources

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colon

National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colonially breeding and strictly protected bird species)

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

2020. május 21. Page 1 of 5

- 3.2.1 Long-tern trend Period
- 3.2.2 Long-term trend Direction
- 3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude
- 1980-2018 Decreasing (-)
- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value 88
- 3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used
- 3.2.5 Sources

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

Haraszthy L. (szerk.) (1984): Magyarország fészkelő madarai. Natura, Budapest.

Haraszthy, L. (szerk.) (1998): Magyarország madarai. Mezőgazda Kiadó, Budapest. 441 p.

Magyar, G., Hadarics, T., Waliczky, Z., Schmidt, A., Nagy, T. & Bankovics, A. (1998): Nomenclator avium Hungariae. Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. KTM Természetvédelmi Hivatal Madártani Intézete – Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület – Winter Fair, Budapest – Szeged. P. 202

MME Nomenclator Bizottság (2008): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Nomenclator avium Hungariae. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest. 278 p.

National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colonially breeding and strictly protected bird species)

3.3 Additional information

The minimum values in the two reporting periods were compared and the maximum values were also similarly compared to calculate the short-term population trend. According to the 2013 Birds Directive Article 12 report, the population had declined by a minimum of 47% since 1980, and it is estimated to have further declined by 75% since then, which, combined, produce a decline of 88% between 1980-2018.

4. Breeding distribution map and size

4.1 Sensitive species	No
4.2 Year or period	2014-2018
4.3 Breading distribution map	Yes
4.4 Breading distribution	2000

4.4 Breading distribution surface area

4.5 Breading distribution Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

4.6 Additional maps

No

4.7 Sources

National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colonially breeding and strictly protected bird species) http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

4.8 Additional information

5. Breeding range trend

5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

5.1.1 Short-term trend Period

5.1.2 Short-term trend Direction

5.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude

2007-2018 Decreasing (-)

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum

2020. május 21. Page 2 of 5

5.1.4 Short-term trend Method used

5.1.5 Sources

c) Best single value 57

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

MME Nomenclator Bizottság (2008): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Nomenclator avium Hungariae. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest. 278 p.

National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colonially breeding and strictly protected bird species)

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

5.2.1 Long-term trend Period

5.2.2 Long-term trend Direction

5.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude

1980-2018 Decreasing (-)

a) Minimum 58

b) Maximum 64

c) Best single value 64

5.2.4 Long-term trend Method used

5.2.5 Sources

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

Haraszthy L. (szerk.) (1984): Magyarország fészkelő madarai. Natura, Budapest.

Haraszthy, L. (szerk.) (1998): Magyarország madarai. Mezőgazda Kiadó, Budapest. 441 p.

Magyar, G., Hadarics, T., Waliczky, Z., Schmidt, A., Nagy, T. & Bankovics, A. (1998): Nomenclator avium Hungariae. Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. KTM Természetvédelmi Hivatal Madártani Intézete – Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület – Winter Fair, Budapest – Szeged. p. 202

MME Nomenclator Bizottság (2008): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Nomenclator avium Hungariae. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest. 278 p.

National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colonially breeding and strictly protected bird species)

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

5.3 Additional information

The distribution map of the 2013 report was compared with that of the present report to et the short-term trend. The present report shows 20 grids (2014-2018), the latter with likely or certain breeding of the species. Most of the decline probably occurred during the short-term period (hence the estimate there). This single best value was multiplied with the long-term breeding range trend figures (min. 30%, max. 40%) of the 2013 Birds Directive Article 12 report to get the long-term range trend 1980-2018.

6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

6.0 Is/Will the information related to international SAPs, MPs and BMSs (section 6) be provided for the other season for this species?

No

2020. május 21. Page 3 of 5

6.1 Type of international plan 6.2 Has a national plan linked to the intarnational SAP/MP/BMS been adopted?	No plan (NA) No
6.3 If 'NO', describe any measures and initiatives taken related to the international SAP/MP/BMS 6.4 Assessment of the effectivess of SAPs for globally threatened species (Art. 12, Species Action Plans)	()
6.5 Assessment of the effectivess of MPs for huntable species in non-Secure status (Articles 3 and 7, Management Plans)6.6 Sources of further Information	()

7. Main pressures and threats		
a) Pressure	b) Ranking	c) location
Harvesting or collecting of other wild plants and animals (excluding hunting and leisure fishing) (G09)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
Abstraction of water, flow diversion, dams and other modifications of hydrological conditions for freshwater aquaculture (G20)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
Management of fishing stocks and game (G08)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Modification of hydrological flow or physical alteration of water bodies for agriculture (excluding development and operation of dams) (A33)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
a) Threat	d) Ranking	e) location
Harvesting or collecting of other wild plants and animals (excluding hunting and leisure fishing) (G09)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
Abstraction of water, flow diversion, dams and other modifications of hydrological conditions for freshwater aquaculture (G20)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
Management of fishing stocks and game (G08)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Modification of hydrological flow or physical alteration of water bodies for agriculture (excluding development and operation of dams) (A33)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)

2020. május 21. Page 4 of 5

7.2 Sources of information

Haraszthy, L. (szerk.) (1998): Magyarország madarai. Mezőgazda Kiadó Budapest. 441 p.

7.3 Additional information

	VOTION.	Measures
IVIAIII		MINEALITE

8.1 Status of measuresMeasures identified and taken

8.2 Main purpose of the measures takenRestore the habitat of the species

8.3 Location of the measuresBoth inside and outside Natura 2000

8.4 Response to the measuresLong-term results (after 2030)

8.5 List of main conservation measures

CA15 - Manage drainage and irrigation operations and infrastructures in agriculture

CA16 - Other measures related to agricultural practices

CG10 - Manage water abstraction and modifications of hydrological conditions for freshwater aquaculture

CJ03 - Restore habitats impacted by multi-purpose hydrological changes

8.6 Additional information

9. Natura 2000 (SPAs) coverage

9.1 Population size inside the Natura 2000 (SPA) network

a) Unit number of pairs (p)

b) Minimum 6

c) Maximum 15

d) Best single value

9.2 Type of estimate

9.3 Population size inside the network Method used

9.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

9.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

9.6 Additional information

Best estimate

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

Decreasing (-)

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

Based on the number of 2.5x2.5 km2 grids (22) with likely or certain breeding of the species and on the subset of these overlapping more than 50% with SPAs (14) or any degree with SPAs (17), assuming an even distribution within occupied grids.

2020. május 21. Page 5 of 5

A madárvédelmi irányelv 12. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019.

