1. Species information

1.1 Member State Hungary A336 1.2 Species code 1.3 EURING code 14900

1.4 Species scientific name

1.6 Alternative species scientific name

1.7 Common name

1.8 Season

Breeding (B)

Remiz pendulinus

2. Population size

1.5 Subspecific population

2.1 Year or period

2.2 Population size

2014-2018

a) Unit number of pairs (p)

b) Minimum 3000 5000 c) Maximum

d) Best single value

2.3 Type of estimate

2.4 Population size Method used

2.5 Sources

Best estimate

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project results, unpublished.

National park directorates' databases http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

2.6 Change and reason for change (since previous report)

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.7 Additional information

New method: Under the KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project in 2017-2018, 530 2.5x2.5 km2 grids were surveyed for a given set of breeding bird species, covering 3.6% of the country. 167 breeding pairs of Remiz pendulinus were estimated for the 530 grids.

As the habitat distribution in the 530 grids is considered to be representative of the country, 4638 pairs can be calculated for the national population. This figure was used here as the maximum population.

3. Population trend

3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

3.1.1 Short-term trend Period

3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction

3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude

2007-2018

Unknown (X)

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used

3.1.5 Sources

Insufficient or no data available

http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/ user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD 12 jel entes_2013_anyagai/Remiz_pendulinus.pdf

2020. május 22. Page 1 of 5

National park directorates' databases http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2 National common bird monitoring scheme (MMM) database.

3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

3.2.1 Long-tern trend Period
3.2.2 Long-term trend Direction
3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude

1998-2018
Decreasing (-)
a) Minimum

a) Minimumb) Maximum66

c) Best single value

3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used

3.2.5 Sources

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

Magyar G., Hadarics T., Waliczky Z., Schmidt A., Nagy T. & Bankovics A. (1998): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Madártani Intézet, Budapest, 119 p. BirdLife International (2004) Birds in Europe: population estimates, trends and conservation status. Cambridge, UK: BirdLife International. (BirdLife Conservation Series No.12.), 252 p.

Ecsedi Z. (szerk.) (2004): A Hortobágy madárvilága. Hortobágy Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Winter Fair, Balmazújváros - Szeged. 2004. 497-499 p.

MME Nomenclator Bizottság (2008): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Nomenclator avium Hungariae. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest. 204-205 p.

KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project results, unpublished.

National park directorates' databases http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

3.3 Additional information

In the short-term trend, the population can be called stable, the lower range of the population figures (4500-13000 pairs) given in the 2013 report was probably closer to reality. Since then, better data have been collected. The earliest published data for the national population saw light in 1998 (8000-15000 pairs), and this was the basis of the long-term trend.

4. Breeding distribution map and size

4.1 Sensitive species	No
4.2 Year or period	2014-2018
4.3 Breading distribution map	Yes
4.4 Breading distribution surface area	35712
4.5 Breading distribution Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
4.6 Additional maps	No
4.7 Sources	National park directorates' databases
	http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2
4.8 Additional information	

5. Breeding range trend

5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

2020. május 22. Page 2 of 5

- 5.1.1 Short-term trend Period
- 5.1.2 Short-term trend Direction
- 5.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude

2007-2018 Stable (0)

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value
- 5.1.4 Short-term trend Method used

5.1.5 Sources

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD_12_jel

entes 2013 anyagai/Remiz pendulinus.pdf

National park directorates' databases

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

5.2.1 Long-term trend Period

5.2.2 Long-term trend Direction

5.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude

1980-2018

Unknown (X)

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

5.2.4 Long-term trend Method used

5.3 Additional information

5.2.5 Sources

Insufficient or no data available

Haraszthy L. (szerk.) (1984): Magyarország fészkelő madarai. Natura,

Budapest. 155-156.

National park directorates' databases http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

In the short-term, the slight increase in distribution is only due to better data.

The available distribution maps do not allow to make any definite trend

magnitude for the long-term.

6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

6.0 Is/Will the information related to international SAPs, MPs and BMSs (section 6) be provided for the other season for this species?

6.1 Type of international plan

6.2 Has a national plan linked to the intarnational SAP/MP/BMS

been adopted?

6.3 If 'NO', describe any measures and initiatives taken related to the international SAP/MP/BMS

6.4 Assessment of the effectivess of SAPs for globally threatened species (Art. 12, Species Action Plans)

6.5 Assessment of the effectivess of MPs for huntable species in non-Secure status (Articles 3 and 7, Management Plans)

No

No plan (NA)

No

()

()

2020. május 22. Page 3 of 5

6.6 Sources of further Information

b) Ranking	c) location
М	inside the Member State (inMS)
M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
d) Ranking	e) location
M	inside the Member State (inMS)
М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
	M H M H d) Ranking M H

7.2 Sources of information

Haraszthy L. (szerk.) (1984): Magyarország fészkelő madarai. Natura, Budapest. 155-156.

7.3 Additional information

8. Main Conservation Measures

8.1 Status of measures	Measures identified and taken
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Restore the habitat of the species
8.3 Location of the measures	Both inside and outside Natura 2000
8.4 Response to the measures	Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-
	2030)

8.5 List of main conservation measures

CA01. Provent conversion of natural and cominatural habitate, and habitate of chooses into agricultural land 2020. május 22.

CA02 - Restore small landscape features on agricultural land

CA15 - Manage drainage and irrigation operations and infrastructures in agriculture

CL04 - Other measures related to natural processes

CN01 - Adopt climate change mitigation measures

8.6 Additional information

9. Natura 2000 (SPAs) coverage

9.1 Population size inside the Natura 2000 (SPA) network

9.2 Type of estimate

9.3 Population size inside the network Method used

9.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

9.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

9.6 Additional information

a) Unit number of pairs (p)

b) Minimum 1000c) Maximum 1500

d) Best single value

Best estimate

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

Stable (0)

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

The SPA coverage of the population was estimated based on the number of 2.5x2.5 km grids where the species was observed compared to the subset of grids where the species was observed and which are also covered at least 50% by SPAs. This ratio was then applied to the national population estimate.

2020. május 22. Page 5 of 5

A madárvédelmi irányelv 12. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019.

