

Bird species' status and trends reporting format for the period 2008-2012 (Annex 2)

1. Species Information

1.1 Member State	Hungary
1.2.2 Natura 2000 code	A214
1.3 Species name	Otus scops
1.3.1 Sub-specific population	
1.4 Alternative species name	
1.5 Common name	füleskuvik
1.6 Season	Breeding (B)

2. Population size

2.1 Year or period	2008-2012
2.2 Population size	a)unit number of pairs (p) b)minimum 200 c)maximum 400
2.3 Type of estimate	The best available single figure or range (Best estimate)
2.4 Method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.5 Quality	Poor (1)
2.6 Sources	National Park Directorates databases. Breeding bird (MME RTM) database.  MME

2.8 Additional information

3. Population trend

3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

3.1.1 Period	2000-2012
3.1.2 Trend direction	Stable (0)
3.1.3 Magnitude	a)Min b)Max
3.1.4 Method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
3.1.5 Quality	Poor (1)
3.1.6 Sources	National Park Directorates databases. Breeding bird (MME RTM) database.  MME Nomenclator Bizottság (2008): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Nomenclator avium Hungariae. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest. P. 278.

3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

3.2.1 Period	1990-2012
3.2.2 Trend direction	Stable (0)
3.2.3 Magnitude	a)Min b)Max
3.2.4 Method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
3.2.5 Quality	Poor (1)
3.2.6 Sources	Consultation with national experts.

3.3 Additional information

4. Breeding distribution map and range size

Bird species' status and trends reporting format for the period 2008-2012 (Annex 2)

4.1 Year or period	2000-2012
4.2 Sensitive species	No
4.3 Distribution map	Yes
4.4 Additional distribution map	No
4.5 Range map	Yes
4.6 Range surface area	15602
4.7 Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
4.8 Quality	Good (3)
4.9 Sources	Breeding bird (MME RTM) database.
4.11 Additional information	The distribution and range map made by using breeding probability data.

5. Breeding range trend

5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

5.1.1 Period	2000-2012
5.1.2 Trend direction	Stable (0)
5.1.3 Magnitude	a)Min b)Max
5.1.4 Method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
5.1.5 Quality	Poor (1)
5.1.6 Sources	Consultation with national experts.

5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

5.2.1 Period	1980-2012
5.2.2 Trend direction	Stable (0)
5.2.3 Magnitude	a)Min b)Max
5.2.4 Method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
5.2.5 Quality	Poor (1)
5.2.6 Sources	Consultation with national experts.
5.3 Additional information	

6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

6.1 Type of plan	No Plan (NA)
6.2 National plan adopted?	N/A
6.3 Measures linked to SAP/MP/BMS	
6.4 Further Information	

7. Main pressures and threats

Pressure	impact	quality	location	sources
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	Good (3)	Inside the Member State (4)	Szakértői becslés

Bird species' status and trends reporting format for the period 2008-2012 (Annex 2)

use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	high importance (H)	Good (3)	Inside the Member State (4)	Szakértői becslés
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	low importance (L)	Good (3)	Inside the Member State (4)	Szakértői becslés
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (forestry) (B04)	medium importance (M)	Poor (1)	Inside the Member State (4)	Szakértői becslés
invasive non-native species (I01)	medium importance (M)	Poor (1)	Inside the Member State (4)	Szakértői becslés
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	medium importance (M)	Poor (1)	Inside the Member State (4)	Szakértői becslés

8. SPA coverage and conservation measures

8.1 Population inside the SPA network

8.1.1 Population size

a)unit number of pairs b)minimum 36 c)maximum 117
(p)

8.1.2 Method used

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

8.1.3 Short-term trend of population

Stable (0)

8.2 Conservation Measures

8.2.1 Measure	8.2.2 Type	8.2.3 Ranking	8.2.4 Location	8.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Maintaining grasslands and other open habitats (2.1)	Contractual Recurrent	medium importance (M)	Inside	Maintain
Restoring/improving forest habitats (3.1)	Legal Administrative One-off	medium importance (M)	Inside	Enhance
Adapt forest management (3.2)	Administrative Recurrent	high importance (H)	Inside	Maintain
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal One-off	medium importance (M)	Inside	Maintain
Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	Legal One-off	medium importance (M)	Both	Maintain
Manage landscape features (6.4)	Administrative One-off	medium importance (M)	Both	Maintain
Specific single species or species group management measures (7.4)	Administrative Recurrent	high importance (H)	Inside	Enhance

Térképmelléklet a madárvédelmi irányelv 12. cikke alapján készített országjelentéshez 2013.

füleskuvik (*Otus scops*)

jelölő faj (egyéb)

