

# REPORT ON THE 'MAIN RESULTS OF THE SURVEILLANCE UNDER ARTICLE 17' FOR ANNEX II, IV AND V SPECIES OF DIRECTIVE 92/43/EEC

## NATIONAL LEVEL

### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 Member State	HU
1.2 Species code	1309
1.3 Species scientific name	<i>Pipistrellus pipistrellus</i>
1.4 Alternative species scientific name (Optional)	
1.5 Common name (Optional)	közönséges törpedenevér

### 2. MAPS

*Distribution of the species within the Member State concerned.*

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2019–2024
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.5 Additional maps (Optional)	–
2.6 Additional information (Optional)	–

### 3. INFORMATION RELATED TO ANNEX V SPECIES (ART. 14 OF DIRECTIVE 92/43/EEC)

3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No	
3.2 Are measures needed for the species (only for species in favourable conservation status)?	No	
3.3 Which of the measures in Art. 14 have been taken?	a) regulations regarding access to property	–
	b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	–
	c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	–

	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	–					
	e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas	–					
	f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	–					
	g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	–					
	h) other measures, if yes, describe	–					
3.4 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild regardless of conservation status - for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)	a) Unit	–					
	b) Statistics/ quantity taken	<i>Provide statistics/quantity taken per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period</i>					
		Season/ year 1	Season/ year 2	Season/ year 3	Season/ year 4	Season/ year 5	Season/ year 6
	Min. (raw, i.e. not rounded)						
	Max. (raw, i.e. not rounded)						
	Unknown	–	–	–	–	–	–
3.5 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used	–						
3.6 Additional information (Optional)	–						

## BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

*Complete for each biogeographical region or marine region concerned.*

### 4. BIOGEOGRAPHICAL AND MARINE REGIONS

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs	<b>Pannonian</b>
4.2 First time reporting	No
4.3 Additional information	–

#### 4.4 Sources of information

Boldogh S.A. 2023: A Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer (NBmR) Denevérmonitorozó Programjának országos koordinációja, az eredmények értékelése (2023). Duna-Ipoly Nemzeti Park Igazgatóság, Budapest. 22 pp. (szakmai jelentés) Boldogh S.A. 2024: A Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer (NBmR) Denevérmonitorozó Programjának országos koordinációja, az eredmények értékelése (2024). Duna-Ipoly Nemzeti Park Igazgatóság, Budapest. 24 pp. (kézirat) Boldogh S.A.; Estók P.; Hegyi Z.; Dobrosi D.; Görföl T.; Bihari Z.; Dombi I.; Gombkötő P.; Paulovics P.; Mészáros J.; Máté B.; Bereczky A.; Szatyor M.; Géczy I. 2019. "Hogy vagytok denevérek?" – Az országos monitoring program első 15 évének néhány eredménye. Pp. 97-122. In: Váczi, O.; Varga, I. & Bakó, B. (szerk.): A Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer eredményei II. – Gerinces állatok. Körös-Maros Nemzeti Park Igazgatóság, Szarvas. Bükki Emlőstani Kutatócsoport Egyesület (2020): Denevérfauna vizsgálata a határmenti Natura 2000 területeken . Kutatási jelentés. 101p. Dankovics R. & Halmai Z. (2018): Épületlakó denevérközösségek felmérése a Fertő-Hanság Nemzeti Park Igazgatóság területén . Kutatási jelentés. 12p. Dankovics R. & Halmai Z. (2019): Épületlakó denevérközösségek felmérése a Fertő-Hanság Nemzeti Park Igazgatóság területén . Kutatási jelentés. 20p Dobrosi D. 2021. Erdői denevérek felmérése a Hármaskörös, Berettyó és Hortobágy-Berettyó menti erdők, továbbá a Rajta-erdő egyes erdőrészein. Kutatási jelentés. Körös-Maros NPI, Szarvas. Dobrosi D. 2023. Erdői denevérek felmérése a KMNP Kis-Sárrét, valamint a KMNP Bélmegyéri Fás-pusztai területi egységeken. Kutatási jelentés. Körös-Maros NPI, Szarvas. Dobrosi Dénes (2019): Erdői denevérek felmérése az Alsó-Tisza hullámtér kiemelt jelentőségű természetmegőrzési terület (HUKN20031) és a T-erdő különleges természetmegőrzési terület Dobrosi Dénes (2020): Erdői denevérek felmérése Derekegyház, Szentés és Nagytóke egyes erdőrészein Estók P. (2022): Denevérfaunisztikai kutatás a Hanság természetes élőhelyein. KEHOP 4.1.0-15-2016-00013. Kutatási jelentés. 22 p. Estók P. (2022): Erdőlakó denevérközösségek vizsgálata az FHNPI területén . Kutatási jelentés. 13p. Estók Péter (2019): Erdői denevérközösségek felmérése. Kutatási jelentés. 20p. Estók Péter (2020): Erdőlakó denevérközösségek vizsgálata a Szigetközben . Kutatási jelentés. 20p. Estók Péter (2021): Erdői denevérközösségek vizsgálata az FHNPI területén . Kutatási jelentés. 22p. Estók, P., Görföl, T. 2016: Denevérek az erdei életközösségekben. (Bats in forests.) – In: KORDA, M. (ed.): Az erdőgazdálkodás hatása az erdők biológiai sokféleségére. [The impacts of silviculture on the biodiversity of forests.] Duna-Ipoly Nemzeti Park Igazgatóság, Budapest, pp. 311–322. Halmai Z. (2020): Épületlakó denevérfajok felmérése a Fertő-Hanság Nemzeti Park Igazgatóság területén . Kutatási jelentés. 18p Halmai Z. (2021): Épületlakó Denevérközösségek felmérése az FHNPI területén . Kutatási jelentés. 22p Halmai Z. (2022): Épületlakó Denevérközösségek felmérése az FHNPI területén . Kutatási jelentés. 22p Kurali, A. & Kugler, P. (2023): Erdői denevérközösségek vizsgálata az FHNPI működési területén. Kutatási jelentés. 46p. Török T. & Halmai Z. (2023): Épületlakó Denevérközösségek felmérése az FHNPI területén (2023). Kutatási jelentés. 22p Nemzetipark-igazgatóságok 2019. és 2024. közötti NBmR-jelesei.

## 5. RANGE

*Range within the biogeographical/marine region concerned.*

5.1 Surface area (km <sup>2</sup> )	93011	
5.2 Change and reason for change in surface area of range and main reason	Is there a change between reporting periods? no, there is no change	
	The change is mainly due to:	
5.3 Short-term trend Period	2013–2024	
5.4 Short-term trend Direction	stable	
5.5 Short-term trend Magnitude (Optional)	a) Estimated Minimum	–
	b) Estimated Maximum	–
	c) Pre-defined range	–
	d) Unknown	–
5.6. Short-term trend Magnitude Type of estimate (Optional)	–	
5.7 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data	
5.8 Long-term trend Period (Optional)	–	
5.9 Long-term trend Direction (Optional)	–	
5.10 Long-term trend Magnitude (Optional)	a) Minimum	–
	b) Maximum	–
5.11 Long-term trend Method used (Optional)	–	
5.12 Favourable reference range	a) –	
	b) <i>if a precise favourable reference range is unknown indicate if the range is:</i> approximately equal to the favourable reference range (less than 2% smaller)	
	c) –	
	d) <i>Indicate method used to set reference value (multiple methods can be chosen)</i>	<i>Indicate the quality of information available:</i>
	Reference-based approach	High
5.13 Range when Directive came into force (Optional)	–	
5.14 Additional information (Optional)	–	

## 6. POPULATION

*Population within the biogeographical/marine region concerned.*

6.1 Year or period	2019–2024	
6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)	a) Unit	number of individuals
	b) Minimum	75000
	c) Maximum	350000
	d) Best single value	–
	e) Class	
6.3 Type of estimate	Best estimate	
6.4 Quality of extrapolation to reporting unit (Optional)	–	
6.5 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit) (Optional)	a) Unit	–
	b) Minimum	–
	c) Maximum	–
	d) Best single value	–
6.6 Type of estimate (Optional)	–	
6.7 Population size Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data	
6.8 Change and reason for change in population size and main reason	Is there a change between reporting periods? yes, due to improved knowledge/more accurate data yes, due to the use of different method	
	The change is mainly due to: the use of a different method	
6.9 Short-term trend Period	2013–2024	
6.10 Short-term trend Direction	uncertain	
6.11 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Estimated Minimum	–
	b) Estimated Maximum	–
	c) Pre-defined range	–
	d) Unknown	Unknown
6.12 Short-term trend Magnitude Type of estimate	minimum	
6.13 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data	

6.14 Long-term trend Period (Optional)	–	
6.15 Long-term trend Direction (Optional)	–	
6.16 Long-term trend Magnitude (Optional)	a) Minimum	–
	b) Maximum	–
	c) Confidence interval	–
6.17 Long-term trend Method used (Optional)	–	
6.18 Favourable reference population	<i>a) Population size (with unit):</i>	
	<i>b) if a precise favourable reference population is unknown indicate if the population is: approximately equal to the favourable reference population (less than 5% smaller)</i>	
	<i>c) Indicate if favourable reference population is unknown:</i> –	
	<i>d) Indicate method used to set reference value (multiple methods can be chosen)</i>	<i>Indicate the quality of information available:</i>
	Reference-based approach	Moderate
	Expert opinion	
6.19 Population size when Directive came into force (Optional)	–	
6.20 Additional Information (Optional)		

## 7. HABITAT FOR THE SPECIES

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat	a) Is area of occupied habitat sufficient (for long-term survival)? Yes
	b) Is quality of occupied habitat sufficient (for long-term survival)? Yes
	c) If NO to a) is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)? –
	–

7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used	Area of habitat: Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data	Quality of habitat: Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
7.3 Short-term trend Period	2013–2024	
7.4 Short-term trend Direction	decreasing	
7.5 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data	
7.6 Long-term trend Period (Optional)	–	
7.7 Long-term trend Direction (Optional)	–	
7.8 Long-term trend Method used (Optional)	–	
7.9 Additional information (Optional)	–	

## 8. MAIN PRESSURES AND THREATS

### 8.1 Characterisation of pressures

Pressure	Timing	Scope (proportion of population affected)	Influence (on population or habitat of the species)	Invasive alien species of Union concern	Other invasive alien species
<b>PA01</b> Agriculture - Conversion into agricultural land	ongoing and likely to be in the future	minority <50%	Medium influence		
<b>PA02</b> Agriculture - Conversion from one type of agricultural land use to another	ongoing and likely to be in the future	majority 50 – 90%	Medium influence		
<b>PA03</b> Agriculture - Conversion from mixed farming and agroforestry systems to specialised production	ongoing and likely to be in the future	majority 50 – 90%	Medium influence		
<b>PA04</b> Agriculture - Removal of small landscape features for agricultural land parcel consolidation	ongoing and likely to be in the future	minority <50%	Low influence		
<b>PA05</b> Agriculture - Abandonment of management/use of grasslands and other agricultural and agroforestry systems	ongoing and likely to be in the future	majority 50 – 90%	Medium influence		

<b>PA14</b> Agriculture - Use of plant protection chemicals	ongoing and likely to be in the future	majority 50 – 90%	High influence		
<b>PB02</b> Forestry - Conversion from one type of forestry land use to another	ongoing and likely to be in the future	majority 50 – 90%	High influence		
<b>PB03</b> Forestry - Introduction and spread of new species for forestry purposes	ongoing and likely to be in the future	minority <50%	High influence		
<b>PB06</b> Forestry - Logging or thinning (excl. clear cutting)	ongoing and likely to be in the future	majority 50 – 90%	High influence		
<b>PB07</b> Forestry - Removal of dead and dying trees (incl. debris)	ongoing and likely to be in the future	majority 50 – 90%	High influence		
<b>PB08</b> Forestry - Removal of old trees (excl. dead or dying trees)	ongoing and likely to be in the future	majority 50 – 90%	High influence		
<b>PB09</b> Forestry - Clear-cutting, removal of all trees	ongoing and likely to be in the future	majority 50 – 90%	High influence		
<b>PB14</b> Forestry - Forest management reducing old growth forests	ongoing and likely to be in the future	majority 50 – 90%	High influence		
<b>PB17</b> Forestry - Use of plant protection chemicals	ongoing and likely to be in the future	minority <50%	Medium influence		
<b>PF02</b> Infrastructure - Infrastructure or modification in existing built-up areas	ongoing and likely to be in the future	minority <50%	Low influence		
<b>PF12</b> Infrastructure - Residential, commercial and industrial activities and structures generating noise, light, heat or other forms of pollution	ongoing and likely to be in the future	majority 50 – 90%	Medium influence		
<b>PH08</b> Safety - Other human intrusions or disturbance not mentioned above	ongoing and likely to be in the future	minority <50%	Low influence		
<b>PJ10</b> Climate change - Change of habitat location, size and/or quality	ongoing and likely to be in the future	whole >90%	High influence		

<b>PJ11</b> Climate change - Desynchronisation of biological/ecological processes	ongoing and likely to be in the future	whole >90%	High influence		
<b>PJ12</b> Climate change - Decline or extinction of related species	ongoing and likely to be in the future	whole >90%	High influence		
8.2 Methods used (Optional)	—				
8.3 Sources of information (Optional)	—				
8.4 Additional information (Optional)	—				

## 9. CONSERVATION MEASURES

9.1 Status of measures	<p>Are measures needed?</p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>Status of measures:</p> <p>Measures identified, but none yet taken</p>
9.2 Scope of measures taken	—
9.3 Main purpose of the measures taken	—
	—
9.4 Location of the measures taken	—
9.5 Response to the measures <i>(when the measures start to neutralize the pressure(s) and produce positive effects)</i>	—

9.6 List of main conservation measures	<p>MB01 – Prevent conversion of (semi-) natural habitats into forests and of (semi-) natural forests into intensive forest plantation</p> <p>MB02 – Maintain existing traditional forest management and exploitation practices</p> <p>MB03 – Reinstate forest management and exploitation practices</p> <p>MB04 – Adapt/manage reforestation and forest regeneration</p> <p>MB05 – Adapt/change forest management and exploitation practices</p> <p>MB06 – Stop forest management and exploitation practices</p> <p>MB07 – Measures to combat illegal logging</p> <p>MB08 – Restoration of Annex I forest habitats (incl. re-establish and improvement)</p> <p>MB09 – Manage the use of natural and synthetic fertilisers, liming and pest control in forestry</p> <p>MJ01 – Implement climate change mitigation measures</p> <p>MJ02 – Implement climate change adaptation measures</p> <p>MS03 – Restoration of habitat of species from the directives</p>
9.7 Additional information (Optional)	–

## 10. FUTURE PROSPECTS

10.1 Future prospects of parameters	a) Range	Good
	b) Population	Good
	c) Habitat of the species	Poor
10.2 Additional information (Optional)	–	

## 11. CONCLUSIONS

### *Assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period*

11.1 Range	Favourable (FV)	
11.2 Population	Favourable (FV)	
11.3 Habitat for the species	Inadequate (U1)	
11.4 Future prospects	Inadequate (U1)	
11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate (U1)	
11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status	deteriorating	
11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend	Overall assessment of conservation status (11.5)	
	<i>Indicate whether there is a change from the previous reporting round and (if yes) the nature of that change.</i>	yes, due to genuine change yes, due to improved knowledge/more accurate data

	<i>The change is mainly due to:</i>	improved knowledge or more accurate data
	Overall trend in conservation status (11.6)	
	<i>Indicate whether there is a change from the previous reporting round and (if yes) the nature of that change.</i>	yes, due to improved knowledge/more accurate data
	<i>The change is mainly due to:</i>	improved knowledge or more accurate data
11.8 Additional information (Optional)	–	

## 12. NATURA 2000 (PROPOSED SITES OF COMMUNITY IMPORTANCE (PSCIs), SITES OF COMMUNITY IMPORTANCE (SCIs) AND SPECIAL AREAS OF CONSERVATION (SACs) COVERAGE FOR ANNEX II SPECIES OF DIRECTIVE 92/43/EEC

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)	a) Unit	–
	b) Minimum	–
	c) Maximum	–
	d) Best single value	–
12.2 Type of estimate	–	
12.3 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit in field 6.2) (Optional)	a) Unit	–
	b) Minimum	–
	c) Maximum	–
	d) Best single value	–
12.4 Type of estimate (Optional)	–	
12.5 Population size inside the network Method used	–	
12.6 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction	–	

12.7 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used	–
12.8 Short-term trend of habitat for the species within the network Direction	–
12.9 Short-term trend of habitat for the species within the network Method used	–
12.10 Additional information (Optional)	–

### 13. COMPLEMENTARY INFORMATION


13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends	–
13.2 Trans-boundary assessment	–
13.3 Other relevant information	–

# Az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke szerinti országjelentés, 2025

## Közönséges törpedenevér

(*Pipistrellus pipistrellus*)

IV. melléklet



Forrás: Agrárminisztérium,  
Természetmegőrzési Főosztály

## Jelmagyarázat

 Előfordulás (Distribution)

0 25 50 Kilometers

